	1	BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
	2 3 4	In the Matter of)
	5 6 7 8 9	MUR 5668 CASE CLOSURE UNDER THE ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM))))
	11	GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT
	12	Under the Enforcement Priority System, matters that are low-rated
	13	and are deemed inappropriate for review by the Alternative Dispute Resolution
riu CD	14	Office are forwarded to the Commission with a recommendation for dismissal. The
mine	15	Commission has determined that pursuing low-rated matters compared to other higher rated
43. 43.	16	matters on the Enforcement docket warrants the exercise of its prosecutorial discretion to
	17	dismiss these cases.
	18	The Office of General Counsel scored MUR 5668 as a low-rated matter. In this case,
	19	the allegations concerned the continued placement of billboards advertising for a defeated
	20	Congressional candidate beyond the general election. In light of the de minims nature of the
	21	allegations and reviewing the merits of MUR 5668 in furtherance of the Commission's
	22	priorities and resources relative to other matters pending on the Enforcement docket, the
	23	Office of General Counsel believes that the Commission should exercise its prosecutorial
	24	discretion and dismiss the matter. See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985).

RECOMMENDATION

1

2	The Office of General Counsel recommends that the Commission dismiss MUR
3	5668, close the file effective two weeks from the date of the Commission vote, and approve
4	the appropriate letters. Closing the case as of this date will allow CELA and General Law
5	and Advice the necessary time to prepare the closing letters and the case file for the public
6	record.
7	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	James A. Kahl Deputy General Counsel BY: Gregory R. Baker Special Counsel Complaints Examination & Legal Administration Jeff & Jordan Supervisory Attorney Complaints Examination & Legal Administration
29 30 31 32	Attachment: Narrative in MUR 5668

1 2 3 4 5 **MUR 5668** 6 7 Complainant: Gerald F. Meek as Chairman of the North Carolina Democratic Party 8 9 Creech for Congress & 10 **Respondents:** Oliver Wall, Treasurer 11 Capital Outdoor, Inc. 12 13 Allegations: The complainant alleges that Capital Outdoor, Inc., continued to post 14 billboards for Creech for Congress after the candidate Billy Creech was defeated in the 15 general election. Specifically, the continued placement of the billboards through May of 16 2005, without any evidence that the committee paid for the advertising, constituted an in-17 kind prohibited contribution to Creech for Congress. The complainant noted that Billy 18 Creech could be a candidate for Congress again in 2006. 19 20 Responses: Capital Outdoor, Inc., responded that it followed the customary practice 21 found in the outdoor advertising industry with respect to the advertising campaign for 22 Creech for Congress. Additionally, only one billboard still contained the advertisement 23 at issue when the complaint was filed in June 2005. 24 25 General Counsel's Note: It should be noted that Billy Creech has not filed a statement 26 of candidacy report or amended statement of organization with the Commission 27 evidencing his intention to run for Congress in 2006. 28 29 Date complaint filed: June 29, 2005 30 31 32 Responses filed: August 10, 2005 (no response from Creech for Congress)